

## The Secret:

### A Theory Much Safer To Be Taught In Schools Than That Of Evolution

For Average Joes From An Average Joe

[AverageJoe93054@att.net](mailto:AverageJoe93054@att.net)

Edited by Lora Skeahan



The saying goes that a secret is only a secret as long as only you know it. Why is that? Because everyone loves to share a secret with at least one person whom they trust. Once told to another soul the secret becomes public knowledge, that is if people recognize a secret when they hear one. There are at least four basic types of secrets.

Known secrets which have been revealed and hence have ceased to be secrets becoming general knowledge.

Unknown secrets the details of which have not been revealed.

Unrecognized secrets which are in plain sight, but are not yet comprehended as being secrets brought into the light.

Forgotten secrets which were revealed in times past but have since become secrets once again and obscured from lack of interest.

Eph 1:9—having **made known** to us **the secret** of His desire, according to His good pleasure which He purposed in Him.

Eph 3:4-9 THE SCRIPTURES--In reading this, then, you are able to understand **my insight into the secret** of Messiah, which was not made known to the sons of men in other generations, as it **has now been revealed** by the Spirit to His set-apart emissaries and prophets: The gentiles to be co-heirs, united in the same body, and partakers together in the promise in Messiah through the Good News, of which I became a servant according to the gift of the favour of Elohim given to me, according to the working of His power. To me, the very least of all the set-apart ones, this favour was given, to bring the Good News of the unsearchable riches of Messiah among the gentiles, and **to make all see** how **this secret** is administered, which for ages past has been hidden in Elohim who created all through יהושע Messiah.

The secrets contained in the passages above obviously fit into the known secret category, the details of which are revealed in the surrounding text.

Mat 24:36--"But concerning that day and the hour **no one knows**, not even the messengers of the heavens, but My Father only.

The above passage from Matthew contains an obvious unknown secret the details of which have not yet been revealed. On the Day of Yahuah this secret will no longer be a secret: Everyone will know.

Eze 37:16-22--"And you, **son of man, take a stick for yourself and write on it, 'For Yehudah and for the children of Yisra'el, his companions.'** Then take another stick and write on it, 'For **Yosëph, the stick of Ephrayim, and for all the house of Yisra'el, his companions.'** "Then bring them together for yourself into one stick, and they shall become one in your hand. "And when the children of your people speak to you, saying, 'Won't you show us what you mean by these?' say to them, 'Thus said the Master יהוה, "See, I am taking **the stick of Yosëph, which is in the hand of Ephrayim, and the tribes of Yisra'el,** his companions. And I shall give them unto him, with **the stick of Yehudah,** and make them one stick, and **they shall be one in My hand."** "And the sticks on which you write shall be in your hand before their eyes. "And speak to them, 'Thus said the Master יהוה, "**See, I am taking the children of Yisra'el from among the gentiles, wherever they have gone, and shall gather them from all around, and I shall bring them into their land. "And I shall make them one nation in the land, on the mountains of Yisra'el. And one sovereign shall be sovereign over them all, and let them no longer be two nations, and let them no longer be divided into two reigns.**

These passages from Ezekiel demonstrate an example of a **forgotten secret**. For the past 2000 years men have been literally blind to this prophecy of a future time when Yahuah will restore as one nation again the two houses or nations of Israel. Only since the early 1990's has this teaching—**forgotten secret**—come to light once again. Why do I classify this prophecy as being a forgotten secret? Because, as the passage below indicates, it was common and revealed knowledge at the time of Messiah's resurrection and just prior to His ascension, but soon after-wards it was forgotten until it has come to light once again in our day.

Act 1:6--So when they had come together, they asked Him, saying, "**Master, would You at this time restore the reign to Yisra'el?**"

Obviously, the disciples of Messiah Yahusha were hoping for this prophecy to come to pass in their day and time. Take notice that the disciples of Messiah have no doubt that this prophecy will come to pass, but are simply asking when will it come to pass.

Eph 5:28-33—In this way husbands ought to love their own wives as their own bodies. He who loves his wife loves himself. For no one ever hated his own flesh, but feeds and cherishes it, as also the Master does the assembly. Because we are members of His body, of His flesh and of His bones. "For this cause a man shall leave his father and mother and be joined to his wife, and the two shall become one flesh." **This secret is great,** but I speak concerning Messiah and the assembly. However, you too, everyone, let each one love his own wife as himself, and let the wife see that she fears her husband.

What great secret could the apostle Shaul (Paul) be referring to within the text of these passages of his letter to the Ephesians? Which category would you place this secret in? Known? Unknown? Unrecognized? Forgotten? As Shaul (Paul) admonishes husbands to treat their wives well the Ruach prompts him to elaborate by hinting of a deeper meaning of the Scripture passage he is quoting from Genesis.

Gen 4:24--"For this cause a man shall leave his father and mother and **be joined to his wife,** and the **two shall become one flesh."**

The words, "the secret is great," indicates that this secret is one which very few understood at the time Shaul (Paul) was writing to the Ephesians. For this reason it might be wise to classify this secret as an unknown secret, possibly unknown and un-understood until this very day.

According to the passages above my wife, of 36 years, and I are **One flesh**, One unit Yet, with my eyes I see **two of us**; male and female; husband and wife; two distinct personalities united together for one common cause: Our marriage. What is the purpose of Our marriage? To share our love for one another. The result of our love is fruit: Children. As the husband I have both the authority and responsibility of leading our marriage. It is my task to provide food, shelter, protection, and direction for my wife and our children. As the wife my spouse has both the authority and responsibility to bear, birth, nourish, protect, and train up our children. If our marriage were a Walmart store, then you could say that I have been appointed the manager

and she has been appointed the assistant manager. We are both managers with similar authority and responsibilities. We are both responsible for the success of our marriage—our Walmart. We will both bear the brunt of blame if our marriage fails—our Walmart. However, because I have been appointed as manager the final burden and responsibility for the success or failure of our marriage—our Walmart—rests squarely upon my shoulders—the buck stops here. I have the greater authority and responsibility while she has the lesser, yet we both share authority and responsibility. We are two distinct persons and personalities, yet we are One in purpose: One flesh, One marriage.

Now, let's take the paragraph we just read and apply what we have learned to what the Ruach through Shaul (Paul) is attempting to share with us about "a great secret." What secret could be **embedded** within these passages; a secret so simple that we could read over this text time after time and never see the amazing information clearly written there upon the pages, yet it is there in plain black and white? Perhaps this has been the problem all along: Black and white. What might we see within these passages if we were to add a little **color** to them?

Eph 5:28-33—In this way **husbands ought to love their own wives as their own bodies**. He who loves his wife loves himself. For no one ever hated his own flesh, but feeds and cherishes it, **as also the Master does the assembly**. Because **we are members of His body, of His flesh and of His bones**. **"For this cause a man shall leave his father and mother and be joined to his wife, and the two shall become one flesh."** **This secret is great**, but **I speak concerning Messiah and the assembly**. However, you too, everyone, let each one love his own wife as himself, and let the wife see that she fears her husband.

This secret is a great mystery, a puzzle. A puzzle has many pieces. Shall we see if we can put the pieces together? Solve the puzzle?

Husbands loving their wives = Messiah loving the Assembly.

Gen 2:23--And the man said, "This is now bone of my bones and flesh of my flesh. This one is called 'woman,' because she was taken **out of man**." = Eph 5:30b--"Members of His body, of His flesh and of His bones."

Gen 2:24 For this cause a man shall leave his father and mother, and cleave to his wife, and **they shall become one flesh**. = Eph 5:31--"For this cause a man shall leave his father and mother and be joined to his wife, and **the two shall become one flesh**."

The manner in which Hawah (Eve) was a part of Adam = The manner we also will become a part of Messiah.

**"This secret is great."** What secret?

**"The two shall become One flesh."** Who will become One flesh?

**"I speak concerning Messiah **and** the Assembly."**

The first Adam and Hawah (Eve) were **One flesh** = Messiah—the last Adam—and the Assembly are **One Spirit**.

1Co 15:45—And so it has been written, "The first man Adam became **a living being**," the last Adam **a life-giving Spirit**.

Why should it surprise us that the Bride of Messiah shall one day be joined together as **One Spirit** with Him? Are we simply taking things out of context? Is there other evidence to support this conclusion?

Joh 17:21 so that they all might be **one, as You, Father, are in Me, and I in You, so that they too might be One in Us**, so that the world might believe that You have sent Me

**"I and My Father are One."** --Joh 10:30

**Yahusha** makes it clear that all who trust, obey, and believe in Him will be One, One Assembly, One Israel, and that One Bride shall one day become One with the Son who is also One with the Father.

Now we have arrived at the gate of controversy of controversies, a topic which has inspired much debate and disagreement as to the composition and character of the Yahuah-head—Godhead. This controversy is centered around the following passage of Scripture.



*The Ten Words In Paleo-Hebrew*

**"Hear, O Yisra'ë: יהוה our Elohim, יהוה is One!"** Deu 6:4

It is the controversy surrounding this passage of Scripture which I believe can easily be resolved if we but entertain a simple theory. A theory, in an Average Joe's words, is: Evidence or evidences submitted for consideration as a possible answer or solution to a given question or problem. A theory is never considered to be a factual answer or solution to a given question or problem until proven so, and once established as fact is no longer referred to as being a theory, but as proven fact, truth, answer, or solution. The other side of the coin is, a theory is never considered to be an un-factual answer or solution to a given question or problem until proven so, and once established as non-fact is also no longer referred to as being a theory, but as proven non-fact, non-truth, non-answer, or non-solution. Simply put, a theory is an unproven possibility, the possibilities of which remain to be seen.



**An Average Joe Question**—How can Yahuah, Our Mighty One be **One** if Yahusha clearly states, "**I and my Father are One?**" Please allow me an attempt at demonstrating this **Two are One** theoretical truth. Very similarly to the manner in which we as individuals view our marriage to our spouse in that we, with our physical senses, see **two** individuals, whom Yahuah Our Creator has declared to be **One** flesh, so also we see within the pages of Scripture **Two distinct individuals and personalities** in Yahuah the Father and Yahusha the Son, yet the Two declare Themselves to be One, and that **One is Spirit**. Whether we are speaking of Our Creator or of the union—marriage—of man and wife, this is a difficult concept to understand: The concept of how **Two are One**.

How is it possible for Two to be One and One to be Two? I believe the problem with our understanding of this mystery of Father and Son **is rooted** in our failure to see **ourselves as being One** with our spouses. As aliens and strangers upon this earth, we struggle to retain our individual personalities within the culture and society in which we live and within our marriages. The consequence? In the eyes of Yahuah man and wife are One, but the sad reality is: **We fail to function as One**. Our struggle to be individuals within our marriages—the union of Two as One—often results in a less than perfect relationship, the Two who are One fussing, and feuding as our marriages become a literal cart to which two stubborn donkeys are harnessed as each attempts to pull the cart in the opposite direction.

This is not the case with Father and Son. The Two, though possessing individual personalities, do not strive for individualism as we so often do. Instead they yield Themselves to One Another in love that they may function as One unified union, One Personality, One Yahuah-head—Godhead—One Spirit. Is evidence of this One that is Two to be found within the pages of Scripture? This Two who are One?

Gen 1:26a--And Elohim said, "**Let Us make man in Our image, according to Our likeness**, and **let them** rule over the fish of the sea, and over the birds of the heavens, and over the livestock, and over all the earth and over all the creeping creatures that creep on the earth."

Gen 1:27--**And Elohim created the man in His image, in the image of Elohim He created him – male and female He created them.**

Yahuah created us—"man"—in His Image, "male and female (man and womb-man) He created them." What does His Image consist of? Most of us have been taught that we are created in Our Mighty One's Image in that, like Him, we are body, soul, and spirit—triune beings. Is this true, or is this more Scripturally unsupportable teaching which we have inherited from the Church?

Joh 4:23-24--"But the hour is coming, and now is, when the true worshippers shall worship the Father in spirit and truth, for the Father also does seek such to worship Him. "**Elohim is Spirit, and those who worship Him need to worship in spirit and truth.**"

Where do we see it clearly stated anywhere within the pages of Scripture that Yahuah is a Triune Being? Yahuah **is Spirit**. We are spirit as well. Our spirit is currently housed within a fleshly body. We have also been taught that our soul is some mystical part of us which can be lost. This is true, but there is no mystery. Our soul is the life within us. Our soul—life within us--**is the blood** which flows through our veins. Yahuah tells us implicitly that the **life is in the blood**.

Lev 17:13-14--"And any man from the children of Yisra'ël, or from the strangers who sojourn among you, who hunts and catches any beast or bird, which is eaten, shall **pour out its blood and cover it with dust, for it is the life of all flesh**. Its blood is for its life. And I said to the children of Yisra'ël, 'Do not eat the blood of any flesh, for **the life of all flesh is its blood**. Anyone eating it is cut off.'

How do we determine that our soul is our life's blood and not some mystical essence which continues on after we die? Scripture reveals this information to us, as we shall see below. The mystical essence soul concept is a teaching of the Church which has been gleaned from pagan philosophy. Amazingly Christianity admits this.....

## The Bible Meaning of Soul

<http://www.learnbible.net/soul.html>

### AN ANIMAL LIFE OR BODY SUBJECT TO DEATH - NOT IMMORTAL

Num 19:13a KJV--Whosoever toucheth the dead **body**<sup>H5315</sup> of any man that is dead, and purifieth not himself, defileth the tabernacle of the LORD and that **soul**<sup>H5315</sup> shall be cut off from Israel.

To the average person, the word "soul" carries the meaning of an undying, immaterial essence that continues in conscious existence after death. This conception is accepted without thought or examination.

As soon as we start to look into the question, however, we begin to make very interesting discoveries. We find, first of all, that the BIBLE meaning of soul is ALTOGETHER DIFFERENT from this, and immediately the question arises:

How can the commonly accepted religious conception of soul be entirely different from the soul of the Bible, seeing that the beliefs of Christendom are supposed to be based upon the Bible?

### CHRISTENDOM ADMITS "IMMORTAL SOUL" UNBIBLICAL, AND BASED ON GREEK PHILOSOPHY

When we turn to works of reference by the learned expositors of the immortal soul theory, we see how this "believing a lie" works out quite naturally. Most of them make no attempt to conceal the fact that scriptural teaching and popular theology are very different regarding the meaning of "soul." They are in fact, proud that they have developed many "improvements" upon what they consider the partial and hazy conceptions voiced by the "Holy men of God who spake as they were moved by the Holy Spirit" (2 Peter 1:21).

Webster's Dictionary says:

"The Christian conception of the soul derives from the Greek, especially as modified by the mystery cults, as well as from the Bible..."

"The more exact determination of the Christian conception was reserved for the Church Fathers, especially Saint Augustine, who taught that it is simple, immaterial and spiritual, devoid of quality and spatial extension. He argued its immortality from the fact that it is the repository of Imperishable truth."

Funk & Wagnall Dictionary is even more to the point:

"Among the ancient Hebrews 'soul' was the equivalent of the principle of life as embodied in living creatures, and this meaning is continued throughout the Bible ...

"It was Augustine especially who, in part on religious grounds and in part as the disciple of the later Greek Philosophy, taught the simple, immaterial and spiritual nature of the human soul view which has remained that of the scholastic philosophy and of Christian theologians down to the present time."

Hasting's well-known Bible Dictionary freely admits:

"Soul is throughout a great part of the Bible simply the equivalent of 'life' embodied in living creature. In the earlier usage of the Old Testament it has no reference to the later philosophical meaning the animating principle still less to the idea of an 'immaterial nature' which will survive the body."

The International Standard Bible Encyclopedia says:

"Soul has various shades of meaning in the Old Testament, which may be summarized as follows: Soul, living being, life, self, person, desire, appetite, emotion and passion.

"Nephesh or soul, can only denote the individual life with a material organization or body.

"In the New Testament 'psuche' appears under more or less similar conditions as in the Old Testament."

From the above excerpt we see that man is indeed **not a triune being** as many of us were taught. Now applying some logical deduction, if we are not triune beings, but are created in Yahuah's Image, can we not then deduce that His Image is also **not triune**? Our Mighty One Yahuah is not a Triune Being: Trinity.

Gen 1:26a--And Elohim said, "**Let Us make man in Our image, according to Our likeness**, and **let them** rule over the fish of the sea, and over the birds of the heavens, and over the livestock, and over all the earth and over all the creeping creatures that creep on the earth."

Gen 2:23--And the man said, "This is now bone of my bones and flesh of my flesh. This one is called 'woman,' because she was taken **out of man**."

Gen 2:24 For this cause a man shall leave his father and mother, and cleave to his wife, and **they shall become one flesh**.

I submit to you as theory that our image is this: "They shall become One flesh." This is the image which Yahuah created us in: His Image. What Image is that? Man—man and wife (womb-man)—are One flesh, while Yahuah—Father and Son—are One Spirit. Brother Brick Parrish shares with us from his article, "Who Is The Creator?"

Gen 2:24 KJV+--Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife: and **they shall be one<sup>H259</sup> flesh**.

The Hebrew word for "ONE" in the above verse is "Echad". They become ONE flesh even though they are separate beings.

Strong's H259

אחד

'echâd

ekh-awd'

A numeral from H258; properly **united**, that is, **one**; or (as an ordinal) *first*: - a, **alike**, alone, altogether, and, any (-thing), apiece, a certain [dai-] ly, each (one), + eleven, every, few, first, + highway, a man, once, one, only, other, some, **together**.

H258 means "unity"

A solitary oneness would be Yachid. The compound unity is Echad.

This being said then, as with a Husband and Wife, Who has what authority and responsibilities? It is my personal belief and theory that Yahuah the Father is the Master Architect and Designer of the Universe, and Yahusha the Son is the Master Craftsman. Working as One, the Father drafted the blueprints for everything to ever be created and the Son took those blueprints and went to work Creating all that is and will ever be in accordance with His Father's plans, His Father's Will. Brick Parrish continues...

Who was the creator of the heavens, the earth and all that is in it?.....According to John's gospel, it was the pre-incarnate Yahshua.

**Joh 1:1** *In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with Elohim, and the Word was Elohim.*

The Greek manuscripts read, "pros ton theon" or "face to face with Elohim. Yahshua was **face to face** with Elohim.

**Joh 1:2** *The same was in the beginning with Elohim.*

**Joh 1:3** *All things were made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made.*

We know by other scripture verses that the Word of Yahweh is Yahshua, the Messiah. I believe that where you see the phrase in the Tanakh, "the word of Yahuah" came to or spoke to various Prophets; it is referring to Yahusha who is known as the Word of Yahuah. He is the mouth piece for Yahuah, the Father.....

The 3 verses above tell us the following things:

1. He existed in the beginning.
2. He was (face to face) with Elohim and that he was Elohim.
3. All things were made by him.

The only way to explain the statement in John 1:1 that he was with Elohim and IS Elohim is to look at the Genesis account in the Hebrew.

Gen 1:1 In the beginning Elohim created the heaven and the earth.

Gen 1:1 776:הארץ: 853 ואת 8064 השמים 853 את 430 אלהים 1254 ברא 7225 בראשית  
bet resh alef bara Elohim

Beresheeth Bara Elohim et ha shamayim et Ha eretz

According to Hirsch in the "Etymological Dictionary of Biblical Hebrew". The root in Bereshith is "resh-alef-shin" and denotes an emotional type of movement, a feeling, an internal stirring. It is a physical movement, a quaking, noisy, shaky type activity (big bang?). It means more than a "beginning", it denotes a beginning of motion, a start of activity.

Notice the second word "Bara".

Gen 1:1 In the beginning Elohim created the heaven and the earth.

Gen 1:1 :בראשית ברא אלהים את השמים ואת הארץ:

The second word "bara" is "Bet-resh-alef" meaning to bring something forth from a vacuous state or to "create from nothingness".

The root of the word bara is "Bar" or son. Adding an alef to the end makes it "bara" . Ancient Hebrew word pictures show that it means "Strong first son of the house" It is showing us that it was Yahshua, the strong first son, who did the creating. The alef in PaleoHebrew is an ox head, which means strong. In Hebrew word pictures, Bet is house and Resh is first.

Elohim is a generic term that means "mighty ones". It is plural while all the verbs following are singular. I believe this is because the Father and the Son are so completely a compound unity (Echad) that they are viewed as one.

The Hebrew word "Echad" means a compound unity.

*Gen 1:26 And Elohim said, Let **us** make man in **our** image, after **our** likeness:*

There is no place in scripture that even hints that the angels had a hand in the creation, so who is the "us" here? It is the compound unity, the echad of Yahweh and Yahshua.

*Gen 1:3 And Elohim<sup>430</sup> said,<sup>559</sup> Let there be<sup>1961</sup> light.<sup>216</sup> and there was<sup>1961</sup> light.<sup>216</sup>*

Remember, the sun, moon and stars were not created until the 4th day. So this is not referring to them. Some may be tempted to say that Yahusha was the light that was created on the 1st day, but he was not a created

being. The light probably was Yahusha, but he was not created.

The Hebrew word for "Light" is "Or". The Hebrew word for *light* as used in Genesis 1:3–5 spelled *aleph-vav-resh*. Yet the first two times this same Hebrew word is used in verses 14 and 15 which does speak of the creation of the Sun, moon and stars, the word is spelled without the letter vav (i.e. *aleph-resh*). Yahshua is usually represented as the Vav or nail signifying his nail pierced hands. The light in these two verses is spelled with the vav whereas the light from the sun, moon and stars is spelled without it signifying they were less perfect than the pure light that first came into existence.

Gen 2:21-24--So יהוה Elohim caused a deep sleep to fall on the man, and he slept. And He took one of his ribs, and closed up the flesh in its place. And the rib which יהוה Elohim had taken from the man He made into a woman, and He brought her to the man. And the man said, "**This is now bone of my bones and flesh of my flesh. This one is called 'woman,' because she was taken out of man.**" For this cause a man shall leave his father and mother, and cleave to his wife, and **they shall become one flesh.**

Adam was a created being, formed from the dust of the ground by **Our Creator** Yahusha.

Gen 2:7--And יהוה Elohim **formed the man out of dust from the ground, and breathed into his nostrils breath of life.** And the man became a living being.

Hawah (Eve), on the other hand, was not created from the dust of the ground, but was actually brought forth **from Adam** and created—fashioned—from his rib. She became a separate person but was at the same time **a part of Adam**, having come forth from him. She was, in effect, **Adam**—bone of his bones and flesh of his flesh. In a similar, but Spirit, fashion Yahusha **emanated from Yahuah the Father**. He was not and is not a created being, but is a part of Yahuah the Father, having come forth from the Father, Spirit of His Spirit and Set-Apartness of His Set-Apartness.

Who was greater—possessed more authority and responsibility? Adam or Hawah (Eve)? Obviously Adam. Who is therefore greater—possesses more authority and responsibility? A father or a son? Obviously, a father. Who is greater—possesses more authority and responsibility—Yahuah or Yahusha? The Father or Son? Obviously, the Father. Yahuah is One Being consisting of Two: Yahuah the Father and Yahuah the Son, just as Man is One being consisting of Two: man and woman (womb-man).

1Co 13:12 KJV--**For now we see through a glass, darkly; but then face to face:** now I know in part; but then shall I know even as also I am known.



**Yahuah's Image—Father and Son—"through a glass darkly,"  
is man's image—man and woman (womb-man).**

At this point point, some may jump to the conclusion that I may be suggesting that Yahuah and Yahusha are Husband and Wife as man and woman are husband and wife. Please allow me to nip that conclusion in the bud before it ever materializes: A man and wife are One flesh in that they share their love and existence together—Eve having come forth from Adam—with a common goal to produce fruit. With physical (flesh and blood) bodies this is brought about through our sexual reproductive systems, and hence we produce fruit: physical (flesh and blood) children. Yahuah and Yahusha are One in that they share their love and existence—Yahusha having emanated forth from Yahuah—with a common goal to produce fruit. Yahuah the Father and Yahusha the Son are **One Spirit**, therefore Their One Spirit produces spiritual fruit: We are the spiritual children of Yahuah.

Mat 24:36--"But concerning that day and the hour **no one knows**, not even the messengers of the heavens, but **My Father only**.

Some brethren are of the belief and opinion that Yahuah is One, but manifests Himself in three different forms within the text of the Scriptures—Father, Son, and Set-Apart Spirit. This teaching is known as Oneness teaching, and states that, literally, there **is and was no Son**, but instead it was the One Yahuah Himself who came to sacrifice Himself for the sins of mankind.

I believe this teaching to be a true statement which contains untruth. Ask yourself: Who kept the universe operating while the Oneness Yahuah was lying dead in the grave for 72 hours—three days and three nights? In the passage above, did Messiah Yahusha—who was not then the Son, but the Oneness Yahuah Himself—actually know the day and hour of His return, but simply chose to lie to His disciples because they were on a need to know basis and they did not need to know? If Messiah, literally, was the Father and there in fact was and is no Son—Second Person of the Yahuah-head (Godhead), then He deceived and lied to His disciples while at the same time admonishing them to trust and obey Him. Brick Parrish elaborates further.

Joh 10:29 "**My Father**, who has given them to Me, **is greater than all**. And no one is able to snatch them out of My Father's hand.

Joh 14:28--"You heard that I said to you, 'I am going away and I am coming to you.' If you did love Me, you would have rejoiced that I said, '**I am going to the Father**,' for **My Father is greater than I**.

Mat 3:16-17--And having been immersed, יהושע went up immediately from the water, and see, the heavens were opened, and He saw the Spirit of Elohim descending like a dove and coming upon Him, and see, a voice out of the heavens, saying, "**This is My Son, the Beloved, in whom I did delight.**"

Mat 26:42--Again **He went away, a second time, and prayed, saying, "O My Father**, if it is impossible for this to pass unless I drink it, let Your desire be done."

**Is Yahusha also Yahuah the Father? No, he himself said the Father was greater than he. He prayed to the Father. Was he praying to himself? Whose voice boomed from heaven at Yahshua's baptism "This is my beloved son in whom I am well pleased"? Was he a ventriloquist? That would be deception.**

To brother Brick's thoughts, allow me to add my own reasoning. If Messiah Yahusha is Yahuah and there is no literal Son, then how can Messiah, after defeating all His enemies and having placed all power, authority, and dominion under His feet, then submit Himself to Himself and return the Kingdom of Yahuah and all authority back over to Himself?

**1Co 15:24-28**--then the end, **when He delivers up the reign to Elohim the Father**, when He has brought to naught all rule and all authority and power. For **He has to reign until He has put all enemies under His feet**. The last enemy to be brought to naught is death. For "He has put all under His feet." But **when He says "all are put under Him," it is clear that He who put all under Him is excepted**. And **when all are made subject to Him, then the Son Himself shall also be subject to Him who put all under Him, in order that Elohim be all in all.**

How do we explain away these passages which clearly and indisputably state that Messiah, **the Son, will turn all authority back over to His Father** once the Kingdom of Yahuah is secured? **Will** Yahuah submit Himself to Himself?

As we begin to draw towards the end of this theoretical discussion, I believe we should touch briefly upon another popular, yet also in my humble opinion erroneous, teaching which is commonly taught by the Church: The Trinity Doctrine. This teaching states that there are three separate persons which comprise the Yahuah-head—Godhead, Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. This would lead us back to the Church teaching that Yahuah is a Triune Being with man created in His Image as a triune being—body, soul, and spirit. This, as we have already seen, is a concept which Scripture does not support. There is not to be found within the pages of Scripture any single Passage which clearly and indisputably states that Yahuah is a Triune Being—Trinity. We are, however, forbidden to learn the ways of the heathen.

**Jer 10:2b KJV—Learn not the way of the heathen**, and be not dismayed at the signs of heaven; for the heathen are dismayed at them.

The trinity concept is, and always has been, a heathen one. Why would Yahuah forbid us to learn the ways of the heathen and, then He, Himself, be comprised of such a heathen—pagan—concept? Paganism has been attempting to mimic Yahuah's prophesied plan of salvation for mankind for thousands of years using the trinity concept. It is known as Sun-god/mother/child worship. A prime example of this form of paganism adopted by the Church and practiced today is God/Mary/Child worship. Lew White shares with us from his book Fossilized Customs.

*A pagan trinity--Nimrod the sun god, Seramis his wife/mother, and Tammuz the child sun god*

## TRINITIES WORLD-WIDE

Since Pagan religions were (and are) based on sex, the *fathermother-child* triad were common to them all. The four seasons were interpreted as manifesting a great cosmic cycle from impregnation (spring) to birth (winter, at the solstice). The power or control over the masses was in the hands of the very few who were "initiated" in the mysteries, and could tell when to plant, when to reap, and when to sacrifice their children to the deities. "deity" comes from the word "diva", and produces the words divine, deis, and day; it means **to shine**. Here are a few of the Trinities from the past:

**Babylonian: Baal, Semiramis, Tammuz.** (alt. Bel, Ishtar, Duzu). Ishtar was also known as Easter, Asherah, Astoreth, Astarte, Aphrodite, etc. **India: Brahma, Shiva** (or Devaki), **Vishnu** (or Krishna). The family name of "Brahmans" may have stemmed from one of Abraham's descendants, due to the close spelling, so some say. **Egypt: Amon Ra, Isis, Osiris** (or Horus). (Note: E.G.Y.P.T. is said to be short for *the Genie of Ptah*.) **I.H.S.** originally stood for *Isis, Horus, Seb*. **Greece: Zeus** (or Apollo), **Demeter** (or Athena, Artemis), **Porsephene** (or Nike). **Rome (Roma): Deus** (form of Zeus, also known as *Zeus-Father*, or *Iu-piter, Jupiter*); **Venus** (or Astarte, Biblia, Charis); **Cupid** (or Bacchus, Nike). **Celtic:** (also known as Druid, Wiccan, Norse, Gaelic); **Baal** (or Woden, Teutates, Heil); **Ceridwen** (or Freya, Frigga, Eostre); **Thor** (or Balder, Taranis, Dero). (alt. Esus, Taranis, Teutates). **Canaanite:** (and Phoenician); **Baal** (or Moloch); **Asherah** (or Ishtar, Easter, Tanit); **Rompha**. **Chaldean:** (and Zoroastrian); **Baal Mithras** (known as "wise-lord" *Ahura Mazda*, or *Ohrmazd*); **Astoreth; Haoma**. **Persian: Shamash, Ishtar, Sin**. **Macedonian / Asian: Dagon** (fish idol); **Cybele** (or Ceres); **Deoius** (or Janus). **Mithraic:** (Chaldean fusion with Indo-Iranian); **Mithras** (or Marduk, called *Sol* by Romans); **Rashnu; Vohu Manah**. **Mandaean: Joshaman, Abatar, Hibil Ziwa**. **Manichaeen: Ohrmazd, Maitreya** (New Age "Christ"); **Zurvan**. In Japan, the Shinto religion has the phrase, "**San Pao Fuh**", which means "*one deity, three forms*". The Irish use one of their ancient Druid symbols, the trifolium clover leaf, as a symbol of their Celtic pride, but also as a secret symbol of their Trinity. The male and female symbols used in Biology are the secret Babylonian signs of Nimrod (Orion, Sagittarius ~ the mighty archer/hunter), and his wife/mother Semiramis (Great Mother, Magna Mater). All of these things and many more, were the "interpreted" mysteries of the Pagan religions, overseen by Wizards, sorcerers, warlocks, shamans, and priest-magicians who exploited themasses. (The "Magi" from Babylon were priests of Ahura-Mazda (Mithras), the sun deity. They arrived when the Mashiach was about two years old, and the gifts they bestowed enabled the family of Yosef, Miryam, and little Yahusha to live in Egypt until Herod died.)  
[www.fossilizedcustoms.com](http://www.fossilizedcustoms.com)

Mat 28:19-20--"Therefore, go and make taught ones of all the nations, **immersing them in the Name of the Father and of the Son and of the Set-apart Spirit**, teaching them to guard all that I have commanded you. And see, I am with you always, until the end of the age." Amēn.

The trinity doctrine is taught by the Church to its members the world over as though it were fact based upon one single passage of Scripture; a passage which in no way makes a clear statement as to the composition of the Yahuah-head—Godhead; a passage which is also questionable as to its authenticity. Clinton D. Willis has compiled an article comprised solely of evidence supported by Christian Theologians who confess their belief and opinion that this text was either added to the original manuscripts or altered to support the Church teaching of the trinity doctrine. Below you will find a brief excerpt from Mr. Willis's article.

**A Collection of Evidence Against the  
Traditional Wording of Matthew 28:19** by  
Clinton D. Willis

**The Encyclopedia of Religion and Ethics:**

As to Matthew 28:19, it says: It is the central piece of evidence for the traditional (Trinitarian) view. If it were undisputed, this would, of course, be decisive, but its trustworthiness is impugned on grounds of textual criticism, literary criticism and historical criticism. The same Encyclopedia further states that: "The obvious explanation of the silence of the New Testament on the triune name, and the use of another (JESUS NAME) formula in Acts and Paul, is that this other formula was the earlier, and **the triune formula is a later addition.**"

**Edmund Schlink, The Doctrine of Baptism, page 28:**

"The baptismal command in its Matthew 28:19 form can not be the historical origin of Christian baptism. At the very least, it must be assumed that the text has been transmitted in a form **expanded by the [Catholic] church.**"

**The Catholic Encyclopedia, II, page 263:**

"The baptismal formula **was changed** from the name of Jesus Christ to the words Father, Son, and Holy Spirit by the Catholic Church in the second century."

**Hastings Dictionary of the Bible 1963, page 1015:**

"The Trinity...is not demonstrable by logic or by Scriptural proofs,...The term Trias was first used by Theophilus of Antioch (c AD 180),...(The term Trinity) not found in Scripture..." "The chief Trinitarian text in the NT is the baptismal formula in Mt 28:19...This late post-resurrection saying, not found in any other Gospel or anywhere else in the NT, has been viewed by some scholars as an interpolation into Matthew. It has also been pointed out that the idea of making disciples is continued in teaching them, so that the intervening reference to baptism with its Trinitarian formula was perhaps a later insertion into the saying. Finally, Eusebius's form of the (ancient) text ("in my name" rather than in the name of the Trinity) has had certain advocates. (Although the Trinitarian formula is now found in the modern-day book of Matthew), this does not guarantee its source in the historical teaching of Jesus. It is doubtless better to view the (Trinitarian) formula as derived from early (Catholic) Christian, perhaps Syrian or Palestinian, baptismal usage (cf Didache 7:1-4), and as a brief summary of the (Catholic) Church's teaching about God, Christ, and the Spirit:..."

**The Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge:**

"Jesus, however, cannot have given His disciples this Trinitarian order of baptism after His resurrection; for the New Testament knows only one baptism in the name of Jesus (Acts 2:38; 8:16; 10:43; 19:5; Gal. 3:27; Rom. 6:3; 1 Cor. 1:13-15), which still occurs even in the second and third centuries, while the Trinitarian formula occurs only in Matt. 28:19, and then only again (in the) Didache 7:1 and Justin, Apol. 1:61...Finally, the distinctly liturgical character of the formula...is strange; it was not the way of Jesus to make such formulas...**the formal authenticity of Matt. 28:19 must be disputed...**" page 435.

### **The Jerusalem Bible, a scholarly Catholic work, states:**

"It may be that this formula, (Triune Matthew 28:19) so far as the fullness of its expression is concerned, is a reflection of the (Man-made) liturgical usage **established later** in the primitive (Catholic) community. It will be remembered that Acts speaks of baptizing "in the name of Jesus,"..."

### **Doctrine and Practice in the Early Church:**

By Dr. Stuart G. Hall 1992, pages 20 and 21. Professor Stuart G. Hall was the former Chair of Ecclesiastical History at King's College, London England. Dr. Hall makes the factual statement that Catholic Trinitarian Baptism was not the original form of Christian Baptism, rather the original was Jesus name baptism. "In the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit," **although those words were not used, as they later are, as a formula.** Not all baptisms fitted this rule." Dr Hall further, states: "More common and perhaps more ancient was the simple, "In the name of the Lord **Jesus** or, Jesus Christ." This practice was known among Marcionites and Orthodox; it is certainly the subject of controversy in Rome and Africa about 254, as the anonymous tract De rebaptismate ("On rebaptism") shows."

### **The Catholic University of America in Washington, D. C. 1923, New Testament Studies Number 5:**

The Lord's Command To Baptize An Historical Critical Investigation. By Bernard Henry Cuneo page 27. "The passages in Acts and the Letters of St. Paul. These passages seem to point to the earliest form as baptism in the name of the Lord." Also we find. "Is it possible to reconcile these facts with the belief that Christ commanded his disciples to baptize in the trine form? Had Christ given such a command, it is urged, the Apostolic Church would have followed him, and we should have some trace of this obedience in the New Testament. No such trace can be found. The only explanation of this silence, according to the anti-traditional view, is this the short christological (Jesus Name) formula was (the) original, and **the longer trine formula was a later development.**"

### **Catholic Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger:**

He makes this confession as to the origin of the chief Trinity text of Matthew 28:19. "The basic form of our (Matthew 28:19 Trinitarian) profession of faith took shape during the course of the **second** and **third** centuries in connection with the ceremony of baptism. So far as its place of origin is concerned, the text (Matthew 28:19) came from the city of Rome." The Trinity baptism and text of Matthew 28:19 therefore did not originate from the original Church that started in Jerusalem around AD 33. It was rather as the evidence proves a later invention of Roman Catholicism completely fabricated. Very few know about these historical facts.

### **"The Demonstratio Evangelica" by Eusebius:**

Eusebius was the Church historian and Bishop of Caesarea. On page 152 Eusebius quotes the early book of Matthew that he had in his library in Caesarea. According to this eyewitness of an unaltered Book of Matthew that could have been the original book or the first copy of the original of Matthew. Eusebius informs us of Jesus' actual words to his disciples in the original text of Matthew 28:19: "With one word and voice He said to His disciples: "Go, and make disciples of all nations **in My Name**, teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you." That "Name" is Jesus.

To sum up, I have presented you with a theory, only a theory, which I personally believe to be true. However, it is only a theory at present as are also the Oneness and Trinity doctrines we have discussed. All three of these teachings are in fact theories which remain to be proven as being fact or fallacy. This may not be revealed to us until the Day of Yahuah. It is my hope that you will consider my proposed theory prayerfully and carefully as you study and seek for truth.



**Man and Woman (Womb-man) are One,  
Messiah and the Assembly are One  
The Father and Son are One  
We will be One with Father and Son—One Family**

## Scripture Passages of Twos That Are One

(Contributed by Lora Skeahan)

**Two Houses—Is 8:14, Jer 31:31-33, Heb 8:8-10**

**Two Nations—Ezek 35:10**

**Two Chosen Families—Jer 33:24**

**Two Sisters—Ezek 23:2-4**

**Two Olive Branches—Jer 2:18 & 21, 11:10 & 16-17, Zech 4:11-14, Romans 11, Rev 11:4**

**Two Sticks—Ezek 37:15-28**

**Two Witnesses—Duet 17:6, Rev 11:3-4**

**Two Lampstands**

**Two Leavened Loaves—Lev 23:17**

**Two Silver Trumpets—Num 10:2-3**

**Two Cherubim—Exo 25:18-20**



Multiple choice: Pick the correct conclusion.

Which of the following is a theory much safer to be taught in schools than that of evolution? *(Hey, no monkey business!)*

One that is only One & makes a liar of Messiah?

One that is Three & must be pagan?

One that is Two & fits Creation?



If Yahuah and Yahusha are not Separate Personalities

then why did Messiah instruct us to submit our prayers to the Father?

If Yahuah is Three Personalities as the Church teaches,

then why does the Set-Apart Spirit not have a Personal Name as do Father and Son?

Read my article: [Have You Ever wondered What Is The Name Of The Set-Apart Spirit?](#)

Please Note:

Unless indicated otherwise,  
all Scripture quotes are taken from  
the Institute for Scripture Research  
translation of THE SCRIPTURES.